arXiv:1208.1866v1 [math-ph] 9 Aug 2012

Metric operator for the imaginary cubic oscillator does not exist

P. Siegl[1] and D. Krejčiřík[2]
[1] *Group of Mathematical Physics of the University of Lisbon,*
Complexo Interdisciplinar, Av. Prof. Gama Pinto 2, 1649-003 Lisboa, Portugal.
[2] *Department of Theoretical Physics, Nuclear Physics Institute ASCR, 25068 Řež, Czech Republic.*

We show that the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric imaginary cubic oscillator is not quasi-Hermitian. In other words, there is no quantum-mechanical Hamiltonian associated with it via physically relevant similarity transformations. Consequently, the commonly accepted quantum-mechanical interpretation of the model in terms of the modification of the inner product in the Hilbert space by means of the metric or $\mathcal{C}$-operator must be completely revisited. Moreover, the non-existence of the metric operator results in the appearance of spectral instability regions. Our proof is based on the analysis of semiclassical states due to Davies [1], which involves a direct construction of a continuous family of approximate eigenstates of complex energies far from the spectrum. The method can be used to investigate the time evolution of fairly general initial states by expanding these in terms of the modes.

In the turn of the millennium, Bender *et al.* came up with the idea to extend quantum mechanics by considering Hamiltonians that are invariant under a space-time reflection $\mathcal{PT}$ rather than being Hermitian [2, 3]. The development of the so-called *$\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric quantum mechanics* was in fact initiated in these papers by considering a prominent Hamiltonian

$$H = -\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + ix^3. \tag{1}$$

While this operator is manifestly non-Hermitian, it is invariant under a simultaneous space reflection $\mathcal{P}$ ($x \mapsto -x$) and time reversal $\mathcal{T}$ (complex conjugation). Moreover, numerical studies suggested that the spectrum of H is *real*, which was later proved in [4]. The Hamiltonian (1) can be considered as a prototype of many other examples of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric Hamiltonians that have been so far studied in a still growing literature (see [5] and references therein). $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric models found applications in various domains of physics – namely in optics [6], solid state [7], superconductivity [8], electromagnetism [9], and reflectionless scattering [10].

It is commonly accepted that a *quantum-mechanical* interpretation of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry must be implemented through the concept of *quasi-Hermiticity* [11, 12]. Here the key property is the existence of the so-called *metric operator* Θ (or its special variant $\mathcal{PC}$ suggested in Refs. [3, 13]), which is a bounded positive operator Θ with bounded inverse providing the following similarity relation between H and its adjoint $H^\dagger$:

$$H^\dagger = \Theta H \Theta^{-1}. \tag{2}$$

Hamiltonian H with property (2) is called quasi-Hermitian because it is actually Hermitian with respect to the modified inner product $\langle \cdot, \Theta \cdot \rangle$ or, equivalently, it can be mapped by a similarity transformation to a Hermitian operator in the initial Hilbert space. In any case, Eq. (2) ensures a proper representation of a quasi-Hermitian Hamiltonian H in the context of conventional quantum mechanics with unitary time evolution and an identical energy spectrum. However, the proof of the existence of a metric operator for a non-Hermitian ($\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric) operator constitutes a highly non-trivial problem. Although necessary, the reality of the spectrum itself is not sufficient to guarantee the existence of Θ.

Partly motivated by the relevance of the cubic interaction in quantum field theory, the problem of similarity of the Hamiltonian (1) to a self-adjoint operator was investigated in several works [13]. However, due to the complexity of the task, the approach used in these papers was necessarily formal, based on developing the metric into an infinite series composed of unbounded operators. There has been no proof of the quasi-Hermiticity of the Hamiltonian (1) so far. The objective of the present note is to show that there is a very good reason for it:

the metric operator for the imaginary cubic oscillator (1) *does not exist.* (∗)

We have chosen the prominent Hamiltonian (1) to prove the negative result just because the ix^3 potential is considered as the *fons et origo* of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric quantum mechanics [2, 3]. However, the absence of quasi-Hermiticity property is by far not restricted to the Hamiltonian (1) only. For instance, the method of the present note also applies to an equally extensively studied x^2+ix^3 potential. Consequently, the corresponding Schrödinger operator does not possess any metric either. Moreover, there is a large class of potentials (not necessarily $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric) for which the original method of Davies [1] applies directly, see Eq. (6) and the surrounding text.

These results have important consequences for the physical interpretation of the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric Hamiltonians. First of all, a general and conceptual message of the present note is that the framework using metric operators cannot be implemented automatically and therefore the usual quantum-mechanical interpretation is lost. Furthermore, even if one succeeds to give a physical meaning to such models, the non-existence of the metric operator is related to several striking phenomena that do not occur for Hermitian or quasi-Hermitian Hamiltonians. The eigenvectors, despite possibly forming a complete set, do not form a "good" basis, *i.e.* an unconditional (Riesz) basis. In other words, not every state in the Hilbert space can be easily expanded into the eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian. Next serious consequence is a non-trivial pseudospectrum, implying the existence of regions of spectral instability. The latter, extensively studied *e.g.* in hydrodynamics or optics (see [14] and references therein), particularly means that very small perturbations may essentially change the spectrum of the Hamiltonian. For instance, new complex eigenvalues can appear far from the real ones. We stress that this cannot happen for quasi-Hermitian Hamiltonians. Such behaviour demonstrates the crucial difference between non-Hermitian operators with and without the metric operator. The spectrum of the latter does not contain reliable information about the system, both in theory and applications. In summary, when studying non-Hermitian Hamiltonian without metric operator, in addition to the reality and (algebraic) simplicity of the spectrum, more involved spectral-theoretic properties (such as basicity, pseudospectrum, *etc.*) must be taken into account.

It is also important to emphasize that there does not seem to be a simple way how to extend the concept of the metric operator. If the metric operator or its inverse is unbounded, the similarity transformation is unbounded as well and therefore it does not preserve the continuous spectrum. This makes the overall physical interpretation doubtful (see also [12, 15, 16]). Moreover, we remark that the unbounded $\mathcal{C}$-operator for (1) cannot exist since H has a compact resolvent.

Let us begin the proof of $(*)$ by properly introducing the Hamiltonian (1) as a closed realization in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. We consider the *maximal realization* of the differential expression (1) by taking for the operator domain, $\operatorname{Dom}(H)$, the set of all $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ which satisfy $-\psi'' + ix^3\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. By an approach of [17, Sec. VII.2], it follows that such a defined operator H is *m-accretive* and that it coincides with the closure of (1) initially defined on infinitely smooth functions of compact support.

Now it can be rigorously verified that H is $\mathcal{PT}$-*symmetric*, *i.e.* $[H, \mathcal{PT}] = 0$, where the commutator should be interpreted as $\mathcal{PT}H\psi = H\mathcal{PT}\psi$ for all $\psi \in \operatorname{Dom}(H)$, with $(\mathcal{P}\psi)(x) := \psi(-x)$ and $(\mathcal{T}\psi)(x) := \overline{\psi(x)}$. It can be also verified that H is $\mathcal{P}$-*self-adjoint*, $H^\dagger = \mathcal{P}H\mathcal{P}$, and $\mathcal{T}$-*self-adjoint*, $H^\dagger = \mathcal{T}H\mathcal{T}$. The latter is a particularly useful property for a non-self-adjoint operator H since it implies that the residual spectrum of H is empty [18].

As an immediate consequence of the fact that H is m-accretive, we know that the spectrum of H is located in the right complex half-plane. Furthermore, it has been shown in [4] that *all eigenvalues of H are real* and simple (in the sense of geometric multiplicity). The fact that the *spectrum of H is purely discrete* follows from Ref. [19], where it has been proved that the resolvent of H is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. A deeper analysis of the resolvent of H reveals that it actually belongs to the trace class [20].

We show that the eigenfunctions (plus possibly generalized eigenfunctions) of H form a complete set in the sense that the orthogonal complement in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ of the linear span of the eigenfunctions consists only of the zero function. The m-accretivity of H implies $\operatorname{Re}\langle\psi, H\psi\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\psi \in \operatorname{Dom}(H)$. Consequently, the imaginary part of the resolvent of $-iH$ at $\xi < 0$ is non-negative, *i.e.*,

$$\frac{1}{2i}\left((-iH-\xi)^{-1} - (iH^\dagger - \xi)^{-1}\right) \geq 0. \tag{3}$$

Since the resolvent is trace class, it is enough to apply the completeness theorem [21, Thm.VII.8.1] to $(-iH-\xi)^{-1}$.

To extent of our knowledge, there seems to be no result on the algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalues of H in the literature. If the algebraic multiplicity is higher than one for at least one eigenvalue (which is not expected), then the metric operator cannot obviously exist because of the presence of Jordan chains in the spectrum of H.

In any case, we prove $(*)$ regardless of the values of the algebraic multiplicities. By contradiction, let there exist a bounded positive operator Θ with bounded inverse satisfying (2). Then the following norm estimate for the resolvent holds:

$$\left\|(H-z)^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{C}{|\operatorname{Im} z|} \tag{4}$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$, where C is a positive constant bounded by $\left\|\sqrt{\Theta}\right\|\left\|\sqrt{\Theta^{-1}}\right\|$. With help of [1, Thm. 1], however, we show that such a bound cannot hold. Using the strategy in [1, Thm. 2], we consider $\|(H-\sigma z)^{-1}\|$ with $\sigma > 0$ large and $0 < \arg z < \pi/2$. By a simple scaling argument in x, the problem can be transferred into a semi-classical one, namely $\|(H-\sigma z)^{-1}\| = \sigma^{-1}\|(H_h - z)^{-1}\|$, where

$$H_h := -h^2\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + ix^3, \tag{5}$$

with $h := \sigma^{-5/6}$. In order to apply [1, Thm. 1], we have to verify that $\operatorname{Im} V'(a) \neq 0$, where $V(x) := ix^3$ and a is obtained from the relation $z = \eta^2 + ia^3$ with $\eta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. However, this can be easily checked for $\operatorname{Im} V'(a) = 3a^2$ and $a \neq 0$ since $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$ by assumption. It then follows from [1, Thm. 1] that the norm of the resolvent of H_h diverges faster than any power of h^{-1} as $h \to 0$. The relation between H and H_h provides an analogous claim for $\|(H-\sigma z)^{-1}\|$ and therefore the resolvent bound (4) cannot hold.

In summary, although the imaginary cubic oscillator (1) is $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric with purely real and discrete spectrum, it cannot be similar (via a bounded and boundedly invertible transformation) to any Hermitian operator or, equivalently, the eigenfunctions of H cannot form the Riesz basis. The method of the present note, mainly based on Ref. [1], does not restrict to this special case. It also applies to the $x^2 + ix^3$ potential, as already mentioned above, and to many others. As a matter of fact, the present note can be viewed as an observation that the original method of Davies [1] goes beyond the huge class of potential perturbations leading to m-sectorial operators. More precisely, Davies considers Schrödinger operators of the type

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2}+\sum_{m=1}^{2n} c_m x^m\,, \tag{6}$$

where the constant c_{2n} has positive real and imaginary parts; then the corresponding closed realization is an m-sectorial operator. In this letter, we show that the restriction on c_{2n} can be relaxed for certain quasi-m-accretive operators.

Coming back to $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry, let us summarize as follows. There exist $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric Hamiltonians for which metrics have been constructed and it is useful to have various (even non-Hermitian) representations of quantum-mechanical Hamiltonians. However, the absence of the quasi-Hermiticity property for a class of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric Hamiltonians presented in this note should be taken seriously as a warning against instinctive acceptance of "facts" based exclusively on common belief and formal manipulations.

A positive aspect of the above analysis is that the proof of [1, Thm. 1] is based on an explicit JWKB construction of semiclassical eigenmodes that could be used to investigate the time evolution governed by H of fairly general initial states by expanding these in terms of the modes. Furthermore, we have established the completeness of the eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian (1), which does not seem to be a previously known fact. Finally, it follows from the present result that H possesses a non-trivial pseudospectrum that deserves more attention and deeper study.

This work has been supported by a grant within the scope of FCT's project PTDC/ MAT/ 101007/2008 and partially supported by FCT's projects PTDC/ MAT/ 101007/2008 and PEst-OE/MAT/UI0208/2011 and by the GACR grant No. P203/11/0701.

---

[1] E. Davies, Comm. Math. Phys. **200**, 35 (1999).

[2] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 5243 (1998).

[3] C. M. Bender, D. C. Brody, and H. F. Jones, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 270401 (4pp) (2002).

[4] P. Dorey, C. Dunning, and R. Tateo, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **34**, 5679 (2001); K. C. Shin, Comm. Math. Phys. **229**, 543 (2002).

[5] C. M. Bender, Rep. Prog. Phys. **70**, 947 (2007); A. Mostafazadeh, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **7**, 1191 (2010).

[6] S. Longhi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 123601 (2009); A. Guo, G. J. Salamo, D. Duchesne, R. Morandotti, M. Volatier-Ravat, V. Aimez, G. A. Siviloglou, and D. N. Christodoulides, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 093902 (2009); S. Klaiman, U. Günther, and N. Moiseyev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 080402 (2008); C. E. Rüter, K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, M. Segev, and D. Kip, Nat. Phys. **6**, 192 (2010).

[7] O. Bendix, R. Fleischmann, T. Kottos, and B. Shapiro, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 030402 (2009).

[8] J. Rubinstein, P. Sternberg, and Q. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 167003 (2007); J. Rubinstein, P. Sternberg, and K. Zumbrun, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **195**, 117 (2010).

[9] A. Ruschhaupt, F. Delgado, and J. G. Muga, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **38**, L171 (2005); A. Mostafazadeh, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 220402 (2009).

[10] H. Hernandez-Coronado, D. Krejčiřík, and P. Siegl, Phys. Lett. A **375**, 21492152 (2011).

[11] F. G. Scholtz, H. B. Geyer, and F. J. W. Hahne, Ann. Phys. **213**, 74 (1992).

[12] J. Dieudonné, Proc. Int. Symp. linear Spaces, Jerusalem 1960 , 115 (1961).

[13] C. M. Bender, D. C. Brody, and H. F. Jones, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 025001 (2004); Phys. Rev. D **71**, 049901 (2005); A. Mostafazadeh, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **39**, 10171 (2006).

[14] L. N. Trefethen and M. Embree, *Spectra and Pseudospectra: The Behavior of Nonnormal Matrices and Operators* (Princeton University Press, 2005).

[15] S. Kuzhel, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. **190**, 375 (2009).

[16] R. Kretschmer and L. Szymanowski, Phys. Lett. A **325**, 112 (2004).

[17] D. E. Edmunds and W. D. Evans, *Spectral Theory and Differential Operators (Oxford Mathematical Monographs)* (Oxford University Press, USA, 1987).

[18] D. Borisov and D. Krejčiřík, Integral Equations Operator Theory **62**, 489 (2008).

[19] E. Caliceti, S. Graffi, and M. Maioli, Comm. Math. Phys. **75**, 51 (1980).

[20] G. A. Mezincescu, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **34**, 3329 (2001).

[21] I. Gohberg, S. Goldberg, and M. A. Kaashoek, *Classes of Linear Operators Vol. I*, Vol. 1 (Birkhäuser Verlag Basel, 1990).